20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

20

1	Leslie Bryan Hart, Esq. (SBN 4932) FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	
2	300 E. Second St., Suite 1510 Reno, Nevada 89501	
3	Tel: (775) 788-2228 Fax: (775) 788-2229 lhart@fclaw.com	
4	(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
5	Julie A. Springer, Esq. WEISBART SPRINGER HAYES, LLP	
6	212 Lavaca St., Suite 200 Austin, TX 78701	
7	Tel: (888) 844-8444 Fax: (512) 682-2074 jspringer@wshllp.com; kterrazas@wshllp.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant West Business Solutions,	LLC
9	n/k/a as Alorica Business Solutions, Inc.	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	TIFFANIE PADAN, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated,	ASE NO. 2:15-cv-00394-GMN-CWH
12	Plaintiffs, SE	COND PROPOSED JOINT DISCOVERY
13	VS.	PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER
14	WEST BUSINESS SOLUTIONS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED
15		REQUESTED
16	Defendant/	
17		
18	Plaintiff Tiffanie Padan ("Padan"), on beha	lf of herself and all persons who have opted in
19	to this action (collectively "Plaintiffs"), and De	fendant West Business Solutions, LLC n/k/

n Alorica Business Solutions, Inc. ("Alorica") submit this Second Proposed Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

Conference of the Parties. Earlier this year, the Court conditionally certified a 1. representative collective action under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). Doc. 58. Due to the unique procedural needs of a collective action, the Court subsequently granted special scheduling review and entered a scheduling order requiring the parties to confer about alternative dispute resolution, discovery sampling, and a discovery plan within 21 days after the opt-in period expired. Doc. 60. The deadline for potential plaintiffs to opt in to the conditionallycertified collective action expired on May 23, 2016. In accordance with the Court's scheduling

6

7

14 15

13

17

18

16

19

21

22

20

23 24

25

26 27

20

order, a conference was held telephonically on June 10, 2016, and was attended by Matthew L. Turner, Kevin J. Stoops, David Grounds, and Molly Nephew, attorneys for Plaintiffs; and Julie A. Springer, attorney for Defendant. As a result of this conference, the parties have stipulated to various matters affecting the schedule of this case and now seek the Court's approval of their agreement.

- 2. **Extension of the Opt-in Period**. Notice was mailed to approximately 50,000 individuals. Approximately 5,114 individuals opted in to the lawsuit during the opt-in period. After the opt-in period expired on May 23, 2016, a number of additional individuals submitted opt-in forms in an attempt to opt in to the case. The parties have agreed that persons who submitted opt-in forms close to the deadline should not be deprived of participation in the case, but that a definite cut-off point is necessary to ensure fair and efficient administration of the case. Accordingly, the parties agree that all opt-in forms submitted on or before June 17, 2016, shall be deemed timely. All opt-in forms submitted within this extended opt-in period must be filed with the Court on or before June 20, 2016.
- Alternative Dispute Resolution. The parties anticipate scheduling a mediation 3. session for later this year, with a current target date of mid-November. The parties are considering several potential mediators with expertise in the area of FLSA collective actions. In the meantime, and as described below, the parties anticipate sharing information related to the opt-ins on an informal basis to evaluate the possibility of settlement. The parties will continue to confer in good faith to determine an agreed-upon mediation date and mediator.
- 4. **Discovery**. On a rolling basis, Alorica has been providing Plaintiff's counsel with payroll and hours-worked information for the persons who opted in to the action. Alorica anticipates providing additional information related to time-keeping practices and policies including training information, as well as information regarding instructions for applications specific to line groups. The parties are also discussing the possibility of a confidential demonstration or interview for purposes of evaluating Alorica's computer systems, but the details have not yet been agreed upon by the parties. All informal information exchanges shall

be completed no later than 30 days before the date of mediation. The parties believe that formal discovery is unnecessary at this time and can be further postponed pending mediation. However, the parties reserve the right to seek discovery sampling in the future if appropriate.

- 5. Arbitration. Alorica believes that a number of the opt-ins agreed to arbitration provisions during their employment which bar them from proceeding in this case and may warrant a motion to compel arbitration in the future. The parties have agreed that Alorica need not file a motion to compel arbitration while settlement discussions are ongoing. Accordingly, Plaintiffs agree that they will not argue that Alorica has waived its right to compel arbitration of any opt-in Plaintiff's claim based on a delay in filing such motion to compel arbitration until after mediation. All Plaintiffs agree that they are not prejudiced by any such delay and will not oppose a motion to compel arbitration based on any alleged waiver or forfeiture due to Alorica's failure to file a motion to compel until after the mediation in this case.
- 6. Addition of state-law claims. Plaintiffs have indicated that they may seek leave to amend their complaint to add additional state-law claims for the persons who have opted in to the conditionally-certified collective action. The parties have agreed that Plaintiffs need not file a motion for leave to amend to add such claims while settlement discussions are ongoing. Accordingly, Alorica has agreed that it will not argue that Plaintiffs have waived their right to move to amend their complaint to add the above-described state-law claims based on Plaintiffs' delay in filing such a motion until after mediation. Alorica agrees to toll any limitations period applicable to the above-described state-law claims for the period beginning on the date this report is filed until the date the mediator declares an impasse.
- 7. Proposed Schedule. The parties have agreed to confer again on October 14, 2016, and will file another status report with the court within 14 days of such conference. In the event mediation is unsuccessful, the parties propose that: (1) they confer within 21 days after the mediator declares an impasse to develop a proposed discovery plan and schedule under FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f) and LR 26-1; and (2) the parties will submit a proposed discovery plan and schedule within 14 days after their post-mediation conference.

8. Further Scheduling Orders. The parties agree that a further scheduling order may be necessary if mediation is unsuccessful.

1	DATED: This 5th day of July, 2016.	
2	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	
345	By: /s/ Julie A. Springer Leslie Bryan Hart (SBN 4932) 300 E. Second St., Suite 1510 Reno, NV 89501 Tel: (775) 788-2228 Fax: (775) 788-2229 lhart@fclaw.com;	
6	and	
7		
8	WEISBART SPRINGER HAYES, LLP (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Julie A. Springer, Esq.	
9	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT WEST BUSINESS SOLUTIONS N/K/A ALORICA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS,	
11	LLC	
12	SOMMERS SCHWARTZ, P.C.	
13	One Towne Square, Suite 1700	
14	Southfield, Michigan 48076 248.355.0300	
15	By:/s/Kevin J. Stoops	
16	Jesse L. Young (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) MI Bar No. P72614	
17	jyoung@sommerspc.com	
18	Matthew L. Turner (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) MI Bar No. P48706	
19	mturner@sommerspc.com Kevin J. Stoops (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
20	MI Bar No. P64371	
21	kstoops@sommerspc.com	
22	Don Springmeyer, Esq. State Bar No. 1021	
23	dspringermeyer@wrslawyers.com	
	Wolf Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, llp	
24	3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor	
25	Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 702.341.5200	
26	702.341.5300 fax	
27		
10	A.	

	Timothy J. Becker (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
1	MN Bar No. 2563
2	tbecker@johnsonbecker.com Jacob R. Rusch (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
3	MN Bar No. 391892 jrusch@johnsonbecker.com
4	JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC
5	33 South Sixth Street, Suite 4530 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
6	612.436.1800
7	612.436.1801 fax
8	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED:
11	Casalth
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	DATED:
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
	1

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 5(b) and Electronic Filing Procedure IV(B), I certify that on the 5th 3 day of July 2016, a true and correct copy of the Second Proposed Joint Discovery Plan and 4 Scheduling Order was transmitted electronically through the Court's e-filing electronic notice 5 system to the attorney(s) associated with this case. If electronic notice is not indicated through 6 the court's e-filing system, then a true and correct paper copy of the foregoing document was 7 delivered via U.S. Mail. 8 Don Springmeyer, Esq. Timothy J. Becker Bradley S. Schrager, Esq. Jacob R. Rusch 9 JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULAMN 33 South Sixth Street, Suite 4530 & RABKIN, LLP 10 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 tbecker@johnsonbecker.com dspringmeryer@wrslawyers.com jrusch@johnsonbecker.com 12 bschrager@wrslawyers.com 13 Matthew L. Turner Kevin J. Stoops 14 Jesse L. Young 15 SOMMERS SCHWARTZ, P.C. One Towne Square, Suite 1700 16 Southfield, Michigan 48076 mturner@sommerspc.com 17 kstoops@sommerspc.com jyoung@sommerspc.com 18 19 /s/ Julie A. Springer Julie A. Springer 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20